



The Children's Worlds Seminar

-Session on Safety-

Mònica González-Carrasco & Ferran Casas (Spain)



University of Nantes 20th June 2019



Objective



To explore the perception and evaluation of safety and their connection with two measures of subjective well-being among Spanish 8-year-olds, focusing on gender differences.

Sample

Representative cluster sampling stratified by *province*, the *locational context* (rural, semi-rural and urban) and the *type of each school* (public/private)



Age in years

Cumulative Valid Percent Frequency Percent Percent Valid 6 years old ,0 ,0 ,0 7 years old 10,3 10,3 10,4 234 8 years old 1902 84,0 84,0 94,4 9 years old 120 5,3 5,3 99,7 10 years old 100,0 **Total** 2263 100,0 100,0

91 primary schools involved and 2.263 participating children

Boy or Girl

			_		Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Boy	1152	50,9	50,9	50,9
	Girl	1111	49,1	49,1	100,0
	Total	2263	100,0	100,0	

Instruments: Perception and evaluation of safety

	How safe do	you feel on yo	our way to	and from	school?		
Perception of	Very safe			t at all safe	Don't k	now	
safety							
	How satisfie	d are you with	1				
Evaluation of			5				8
safety	How safe you f	eel					

Instruments: Subjective well-being (modified SLSS and OLS)

Now please say how much you agree with each of the following sentences about your life as a whole.

		I do not agree	Agree a little bit	Agree somewhat	Agree a lot	Totally agree
	I enjoy my life					
SLSS:	My life is going well					
Context-free < scale	I have a good life					
Scarc	The things that happen in my life are excellent					
	I like my life					
OLS: Single-item	I am happy with my life					
scale						

Results: Safety in the way to school

How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	Not at all safe	51	2,3	2,4	2,4
	Not very safe	86	3,8	4,1	6,5
	Quite safe	307	13,6	14,7	21,2
	Very safe	1648	72,8	78,8	100,0
	Total	2092	92,4	100,0	
Missing	Don't know	147	6,5		
	Missing	24	1,1		
	Total	171	7,6		
Total		2263	100,0		

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?	2092	0	3	2,70	,663
Valid N (listwise)	2092				

Results by gender: Safety in the way to school

Group Statistics

					Std. Error
	Boy or Girl	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean
How safe do you feel on	Boy	1071	2,74	,631	,019
your way to and from	Girl	1021	2,66	,693	,022
school?					

Independent Samples Test

	•		for Equality of	t-test for Equality of Means		
		F	Sig.	t	df	
How safe do you feel on your way to and from	Equal variances assumed	20,543	,000	2,746	2090	
school?	Equal variances not assumed			2,740	2048,947	

Independent Samples Test

	acpoina	one Sumpr					
		t-test for Equality of Means					
		Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		
		tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower		
TT f- 1 f1	E1						
How safe do you feel on your way to and	Equal variances assumed	,006	,079	,029	,023		
from school?	Equal variances not assumed	,006	,079	,029	,023		

Results: Satisfaction with safety

Satisfaction with: How safe you feel

					Cumulative
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Percent
Valid	V unhappy	26	1,1	1,2	1,2
	Unhappy	38	1,7	1,7	2,9
	Middle	232	10,3	10,4	13,2
	Нарру	565	25,0	25,3	38,5
	V happy	1373	60,7	61,5	100,0
	Total	2234	98,7	100,0	
Missing	Missing	29	1,3		
Total		2263	100,0		

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	2234	0	4	3,44	,834
Valid N (listwise)	2234				

Results by gender: Satisfaction with safety

Group Statistics

					Std. Error
	Boy or Girl	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean
Satisfaction with: How safe	Boy	1138	3,49	,793	,023
you feel	Girl	1096	3,39	,873	,026

Independent Samples Test

	•	Levene's Test	for Equality of ances	t-test for Equality of Means		
		F	Sig.	t	df	
Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	Equal variances assumed	13,287	,000	3,060	2232	
	Equal variances not assumed			3,054	2193,039	

Independent Samples Test

	•	-	t-test for Equa	ality of Means	
					95% Confidence Interval of the
		Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Difference
		tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower
Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	Equal variances assumed	,002	,108	,035	,039
	Equal variances not assumed	,002	,108	,035	,039

Results: Subjective well-being (modified SLSS and OLS)

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
I enjoy my life	2189	0	4	3,71	,678
My life is going well	2194	О	4	3,59	,805
I have a good life	2184	О	4	3,66	,757
The things that happen in	2153	О	4	3,14	1,087
my life are excellent					
I like my life	2211	О	4	3,71	,701
I am happy with my life	2209	0	4	3,73	,729
Valid N (listwise)	2005				

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SLSS	2018	0	4	3,59	,606
Valid N (listwise)	2018				

No gender differences

Results: Regression model of modified SLSS (boys)

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate
1	,375 ^a	,140	,139	,530

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	45,006	2	22,503	80,170	,000b
	Residual	275,362	981	,281		
	Total	320,369	983			

Coefficients^a

		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2,408	,098		24,508	,000
	How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?	,154	,027	,171	5,646	,000
	Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	,223	,022	,301	9,945	,000

Results: Regression model of OLS (boys)

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate
1	,288a	,083	,081	,668

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	42,198	2	21,099	47,280	,000b
	Residual	468,124	1049	,446		
	Total	510,322	1051			

		Coeff	icients ^a			
		Unstandardize	d Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2,533	,121		21,007	,000
	How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?	,167	,033	,152	5,032	,000
	Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	,197	,027	,216	7,180	,000

Results: Regression model of modified SLSS (girls)

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate
1	,405 ^a	,164	,162	,556

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	54,608	2	27,304	88,379	,000b
	Residual	278,354	901	,309		
	Total	332,962	903			

Coefficients^a

		Unstandardize	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2,341	,098		23,931	,000
	How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?	,142	,028	,161	5,154	,000
	Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	,253	,024	,337	10,760	,000

Results: Regression model of OLS (girls)

Model Summary

			Adjusted R	Std. Error of
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate
1	,401 ^a	,161	,159	,720

ANOVA^a

Mod	del	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	98,806	2	49,403	95,240	,000b
	Residual	516,649	996	,519		
	Total	615,455	998			

Coefficients^a

	Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	2,056	,119		17,346	,000
	How safe do you feel on your way to and from school?	,190	,034	,167	5,635	,000
	Satisfaction with: How safe you feel	,310	,028	,331	11,165	,000

Summary of results

- The highest percentages of boys and girls express *feeling very* safe in the way to school, being boys' mean higher compared to girls'.
- The same applies for *satisfaction with safety*, being again boys' mean higher than girls'.
- Satisfaction with safety shows a higher contribution to explain the modified version of the SLSS and the OLS compared to feeling safe in the way to school.
- Feeling safe in the way to school and satisfaction with safety contribute more to explain the *modified version of the SLSS* rather than *overall satisfaction*, especially among boys, and to girls' models compared to those of boys.

Discussion

- Even in countries where perceptions and evaluations regarding safety are high, actions should be developed in order to increase them, especially focusing on girls.
- Increasing perceptions and evaluations of safety are not only relevant *per se*, but very important when trying to promote subjective well-being from an early age (see, for example, González *et al.*, 2012¹ and González-Carrasco *et al.*, 2019²).

¹González, M., Casas, F., Figuer, C., Malo, S., & Viñas, F. (2012). Satisfaction with present safety and future security as components of personal well-being among young people: relationships with other psychosocial constructs. In D. Webb, & E. Wills-Herrera (eds.). *Subjective Well-Being and Security*, 46, 253-290. Social Indicators Research Series. The Netherlands: Springer. ISBN 978-94-007-2277-4.

² González-Carrasco, M., Casas, F., Ben-Arieh, A., Savahl, S., & Tiliouine, H. (2019). Children's perspectives and evaluations of safety in diverse settings and their subjective well-being: A multi-national approach. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 14(2), 309-334. doi: 10.1007/s11482-018-9594-3.